

No. 11/13/87-6Lab/343.- In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Parveen Reinforced Plastic Ltd., Faridabad *versus* Thekedar.

BEFORE SHRI N. L. PRUTHI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 36 of 1994

In the matter of Industrial Dispute

between

SHRI THEKEDAR C/O H.M.F. PERVERSH MARG, RLY. ROAD,
FARIDABAD

.. Petitioner

and

M/S PARVEEN RE-INFORCED PLASTIC LTD., 135, D.L.F. AREA,
FARIDABAD

.. Management

Present :—None

AWARD

Under the provisions of Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana have,—vide Endst. No. ID/FD/14340-45, dated 11th January, 1994, referred the following dispute between the parties above mentioned for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Thekedar is legal and justified. If not, to what relief he is entitled ?

2. The case has been called again but no one has appeared. It is 4 p.m. now. The parties do not seem to be interested. As such "No Claim Award" is passed.

N. L. PRUTHI,

The 22nd July, 1994.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 3266, dated 28th July, 1994

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court I,
Faridabad.

No. 14/13/87-6 Lab./344.- In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Parveen Reinforced Plastic, Ltd. Faridabad *versus* Prem Parkash.

BEFORE SHRI N. L. PRUTHI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 37 of 1994

In the matter of Industrial Dispute

between

SHRI PREM PARKASH, C/O H.M.F., PARVESH MARG, RLY. ROAD,
FARIDABAD

.. Petitioner

and

M/S PARVEEN RE-INFORCED PLASTIC LTD., 135, D.L.F. AREA,
FARIDABAD

.. Management

Present :

None

AWARD

Under the provisions of Section 10(1) (d) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana have,—vide Endst. No. ID/FD/14346-51, dated 11th January, 1994, referred the following dispute between the parties above mentioned for adjudication :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Prem Parkash is legal and justified. If not, to what relief he is entitled ?”

2. The case has been called time and again but no one has appeared. It is 4 p.m. now. The parties do not seem to be interested. As such “No Claim Award” is passed.

The 22nd July, 1994.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 3233, dated the 22nd July, 1994

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

No 14/13/87-CLab. 345.— In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Prem Reinforced Plastic Ltd., Faridabad *versus* Hari Prasad.

BEFORE SHRI N. L. PRUTHI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 39 of 1994

In the matter of Industrial Dispute

between

SHRI HARI PRASAD C/O H.M.F., PARVISH MARG, RLY, ROAD,
FARIDABAD

.. Petitioner

and

M.S FARVLIN RE-INFORCED PLASTIC LTD., 135, D.L.F. AREA,
FARIDABAD

.. Management

Present :

None.

AWARD

Under the provisions of Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana have,—vide Endst. No. ID/FD/14328-37, dated 11th April, 1994, referred the following dispute between the parties above mentioned for adjudication:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Hari Prasad is legal and justified. If not, to what relief he is entitled ?”

2. The case has been called time and again but no one has appeared. It is 4 p.m. now. The parties do not seem to be interested. As such "No Claim Award" is passed.

N. L. PRUTHI,

The 22nd July, 1994.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 3235, dated the 25th July, 1994

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./346. - In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Asia Bron Browari Ltd., Faridabad *versus* Parmod Kumar.

BEFORE SHRI N. L. PRUTHI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, I, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 20 of 93

In the matter of Industrial Dispute

between

SHRI PARMOD KUMAR C/O H. M. S., 29-SAHID NEELAM CHOWK,
FARIDABAD

.. *Workman*

and

M/S ASIA BRON BROWARI LTD., PLOT NO 32, NIT FARIDABAD

.. *Management*

Present :

None for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, authorised representative for the management.

AWARD

Under the provisions of Section 10(1) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana have, *vide* Endorsement No. ID/FD/5709 -14, dated 11th February, 1993, referred the following dispute between the parties above named for adjudication : -

"Whether the order of Management to terminate the services of Shri Parmod Kumar is legal and justified. If not, to what relief he is entitled?"

2. Despite sending Regd. letter on 3rd June, 1994, the workman has not put in appearance. Prior to it notices have been sent to him at his address given in the reference about ten times. So much, so that copy of reference was endorsed by the State Government to the workman as well. This all shows that the workman is not interested in his case. As such, "No Claim Award" is passed.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Dated the 19th July, 1994.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 3232, dated the 21st July, 1994.

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to the Financial Commissioner & Secretary, to Government of Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.